From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

TIMOTHY R. SCHWARTZ OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND. MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1755 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY FOURTH FLOOR, CRYSTAL SQUARE FIVE ARLINGTON, VA 22202

DOCKET NO.

7057-001-95 **PCT**

MAR 19 1397 WRITTEN OPINION

	(PCT Rule 66)
HECE	IVED IN CHEMICAL
DATE:	3.18.97
TIME:	11:30 am

Date of Mailing-(day/month/year)

REPLY DUE

Applicant's or agent's file reference		REPLY DU	wı	thin TWO months
70570195XPCT 7657001-9				om the above date of mailing
International application No.	International filing date	: (day/month/	year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/US96/03302	19 MARCH 1996			31 MARCH 1995
International Patent Classification (IPC)	or both national classific	ation and IPC	2	A. A. G. C.
Please See Supplemental Sheet.			<u> </u>	RECEIVED IN CHEMICAL
Applicant				DATE: 3 20191
MATYJASZEWSKI, KRZYSZTOF				TIME: 3:00 pm
1. This written opinion is the first	(first, etc.)	drawn by thi	s Interna	tional Preliminary Examining Authority.
2. This opinion contains indications re	elating to the following it	ems:	RECE	IVED IN FOREIGN FILING
I X Basis of the opinion			DATE	3-17-97
			TIME:	3:46 DIT
II Priority				
III X Non-establishment of	of opinion with regard to	novelty, inve	ntive ste	p or industrial applicability
IV Lack of unity of inv				
V Reasoned statement	under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) w	ith regard to	povelty,	inventive step or industrial applicability;
citations and explan	ations supporting such st	atement		DECEMBER
VI X Certain documents	cited			
VII Certain defects in the	ne international application	on		科紹 1 7 1997
VIII Certain observation	s on the international app	lication		OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND
				MAIFF & NEUSTADT, P.C.
3. The applicant is hereby invited to	reply to this opinion.	lisset may b	afara the	expiration of that time limit, request this
Authority to grant	en extension., see Kule (\$6.2(4).		
For the form and	the language of the amen	aments, see	Kujes oo.	
For the examiner's	opportunity to submit am s obligation to consider a communication with the ex	mendments a	novor ari	guments, see Rule 00.4 DB.
If no reply is filed, the international	ional preliminary examina	ation report v	vill be es	tablished on the basis of this opinion.

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

4. The final date by which the international preliminary

examination report must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 31 JULY 1997

Washington, D.C. 20231

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

Authorized officer

JEFFREY T. SMITH

Telephone No.

Form PCT/IPEA/408 (cover sheet) (January 1994)*



Inc. national	application	No.

PCT/US96/03302

I. Basis of the	opinion		
1. This opinion has invitation under A	been drawn on the bas Article 14 are referred	is of (Substitute sheet to in this opinion as	s which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an originally filed.):
X the	international appli	cation as originally	y filed.
X the	description, pages	1-98	, as originally filed.
<u> </u>			, filed with the demand.
			, filed with the letter of
	. 0		
√ the	e claims, Nos.	1-20	, as originally filed.
X The			, as amended under Article 19.
	Nos.		, filed with the demand.
	Nos.		, filed with the letter of
<u> </u>		. 1 19	
X the			, as originally filed.
		_	, filed with the demand.
	shee	S/FIG NONE	, filed with the letter of
X the	nts have resulted in e description, pages_ e claims, Nos. e drawings, sheets minion has been estable eyond the disclosure bservations, if neces	NONE NONE NONE NONE ished as if (some of) as filed, as indicated	the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered in the Supplemental Box Additional observations below (Rule 70.2(c)).



ш.	No	n-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
The indu	ques stria	tion whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be lly applicable have not been and will not be examined in respect of:				
		the entire international application.				
[]	₹]	claims Nos. <u>15-20</u>				
beca	uise:					
		the said international application, or the said claim Nos relate to the following subject matter which does not require international preliminary examination (specify).				
		•				
	x	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 15-20 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify).				
th P	Claims 15-20 are indefinite, not properly defined according to PCT Rule 6.4, because the structural formula(s) which define the invention is/are indefinite. It cannot be determined whether the formula (claim 15) represents one or three different polymers. Furthermore, the repeating group of line 3 of the formula is indefinite because the determination as to the repeating functionality is not defined.					
		the claims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.				
	x	no international search report has been established for said claims Nos. 15-20.				



ational application No.
PCT/US96/03302

STATEMENT			
Novelty (N)	Claims	NONE	YE
•	Claims	1-14	NO
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims	NONE	YI
	Claims	1-14	NO
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims	1-14	YI
	Claims	NONE	No
IONE			



Application No. Patent No. Patent No. US, A, 5,470,928 Publication (day/month) 28 NOVE	n Date n/year) EMBER 1995	Filing Date (day/month/year) 31 JANUARY 199	Priority date (valid clar (day/month/year) 95 NONE
US, A, 5,470,928 28 NOVE	EMBER 1995	31 JANUARY 19	95 NONE
Non-written disclosures (Rule 70.9)			
Kind of non-written disclosure		written disclosure nonth/year)	Date of written disclosure referring to non-written disclos (day/month/year)
	,		



Supplemental Bo	X
-----------------	---

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of: Boxes I - VIII

Sheet 10

TIME LIMIT:

The time limit set for response to a Written Opinion may not be extended. 37 CFR 1.484(d). Any response received after the expiration of the time limit set in the Written Opinion will not be considered in preparing the International Preliminary Examination Report.

CLASSIFICATION:

The International Patent Classification (IPC) and/or the National classification are as listed below: IPC(6): CO8F 297/00, 4/40, 4/44 and US CI.: 525/272, 267, 276, 280, 302, 308, 314; 526/145,147, 172, 183, 250, 255, 259, 319, 329.7, 335